UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,819	07/02/2007	Milovan Skendzic	P71402US0	3987
	7590 12/23/200 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH	STREET N.W.	SINGH, SUNIL		
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/588,819	SKENDZIC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sunil Singh	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	, <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
olooog irradoordanido with the practice anadr Es	x parte Quayre, 1000 0.5. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
and cashed to the cashed to th						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		, (6.16.1)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Space No(s) Mail Date  6) Other						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/588,819 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dees (US 3228669).

Dees discloses a holding/levelling device (1,2,6) comprising main truss-girder (6) with extendable ends, leaned against saddles (30,63) on top of a pair of adjustable supports (see Fig. 2) comprising lifting presses placed within the steel housing (6.2) with enlarged basis (39, enabled to slide in two horizontal perpendicular directions, leaned against the support pad whereby horizontal bolts are hanged upon two vertical rods (51) pulled vertically through holes of the main truss-girder (6) symmetrically about the midspan, whereby the adjustable length of both vertical rods between the top of the truss-girder (6) and the horizontal bolt is fixed by two nuts (56,57).

Application/Control Number: 10/588,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 3672

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese document '557 in view of Japanese document '675 and Dees (US 3228669). Japanese document '557 discloses a method of constructing a foundation for receiving and supporting walls (see Figs. 2,6,9) around the perimeter of a building layout (see Fig. 1). Japanese document '557 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, it lacks a plurality of longitudinal sockets supported above a trench via a holding and leveling device. Japanese document '675 teaches longitudinal sockets (see Figs. 1,3,4). Dees teaches a holding and leveling device (see Figs. 1,2,6). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Japanese document '557 to substitute the foundation sockets as taught by Japanese document '675 for the foundation sockets disclosed by Japanese document '557 since such a modification is a simple substitution of one known element for another that yields predictable results. Further, it would be considered obvious to modify Japanese document '557 to include the holding and leveling device as taught by Dees since such an arrangement reduces time involved in the pouring of the concrete.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,819 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese document '675.

Japanese document '675 teaches u-shaped socket elements (see Figs. 1,3,4). However, it is silent about including a plurality of holes. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known and old to have holes in a foundation support. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Japanese document '675 to include a plurality of holes in order to aid the support of the socket elements above the trench.

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,819

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sunil Singh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672 Sunil Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672 Page 5

SS

12/20/08